

**2018 RESEARCH GRANT COMPETITION
CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION OF SUBMISSIONS**

CSHP Grant Reviewer #: _____

Project Title: _____

Principal Investigator: _____

RATIONALE, RELEVANCE, ORIGINALITY (Max 25 points)		SCORE
Does the proposal explain why this project should be undertaken?	(5 points)	
Does the preamble reflect an adequate review of the literature?	(10 points)	
Is the project relevant to institutional pharmacy practice?	(5 points)	
Is the proposed project original or unique in any respect? (Is it a new problem or question? Does the research apply a new or unique study method or evaluation technique?)	(5 points)	
Total (Max 25)		
SIGNIFICANCE OF THE RESEARCH (Max 10 points) (Select the one statement that you believe best describes the significance of the proposed research) Please assign a score <u>between 1 and 10</u> . (Examples below)		
a) A project scope of major tangible benefit to patient care or pharmacy practice(e.g. potential impact on patient morbidity, mortality, an innovative program that advances direct patient care).	(10 points)	
a) A project scope of perceptible tangible benefit to patient care or pharmacy practice (e.g. well-designed retrospective reviews, compatibility studies, surveys).	(6 points)	
a) A project scope of limited impact on patient care.	(1 point)	
Total (Max 10)		
RESEARCH HYPOTHESIS AND OBJECTIVES (Max 5 points)		
Are the objectives for the project clearly stated in terms of the end points or outcomes?	(5 points)	
Total (Max 5)		
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY (Max 40 points)		
Does the proposal describe in sufficient clarity/detail the study method to be used?	(8 points)	
Is the described method valid for the stated objectives?	(8 points)	

Are the sample population, sampling technique and sample size valid and clearly described?	(8 points)	
Is the proposed data analysis appropriate for the nature of the data collected (including statistical tests if appropriate)?	(8 points)	
Is the study ethical in so far as the potential risks and benefits to the patients and/or society?	(8 points)	
Total (Max 40)		
Page 1 SUBTOTAL	/80	
PERSONNEL AND FACILITIES (Max 5 points)		
Are the professional competencies and experiences of the principal investigator(s) appropriate to carry out the work required?	(5 points)	
Total (Max 5)		
ECONOMIC EFFICIENCY, PROJECT SCOPE AND TIMETABLE (Max 15 points)		
Are all the necessary budget inputs defined and costed (e.g. personnel, supplies, equipment)?	(4 points)	
Do the amounts allocated to the various components of the budget appear to be appropriate?	(4 points)	
Has a proposed work plan been established identifying activities, centres of responsibility and target completion dates?	(4 points)	
Given the proposed work plan, does it appear reasonable that the project can be completed within the stated timeframe?	(3 points)	
Total (Max 15)		
Page 2 SUBTOTAL	/20	
OVERALL TOTAL (Page 1 + 2)	/100	

Additional Question

Does this researcher qualify as a Novice Researcher? (Yes / No)

Comments and/or Suggested Areas for Improvement

- RATIONALE, RELEVANCE, ORIGINALITY
- SIGNIFICANCE OF THE RESEARCH
- RESEARCH HYPOTHESIS AND OBJECTIVES
- RESEARCH METHODOLOGY
- PERSONNEL AND FACILITIES
- ECONOMIC EFFICIENCY, PROJECT SCOPE AND TIMETABLE